

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.upto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/990,149	11/21/2001	James R. Halladay	IR-2946(AA)	2351
	7590 07/01/2003			
Miles B. Dearth			EXAMINER	
Lord Corpora	⁄e		SERGENT, RABON A	
P.O. Box 801 Cary, NC 27			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
Omy, 110 2.	V.2		1711	•5
			DATE MAILED: 07/01/2003	3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
·— (
Office Action Summary	09/990,149	HALLADAY ET AL.				
,	Examin r	Art Unit				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	Rabon Sergent	1711				
Peri d for Reply	care on the cover enece mar the c	orresp native addition				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period with period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earmed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E Disposition of Claims	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	.53 O.G. 213.				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers	election requirement.					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2. 1.S. Patent and Trademark Office.		r (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/990,149

,

Page 2

Art Unit: 1711

1. Claims 1-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for

failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as

the invention.

Within line 4 of claim 1, applicants have failed to specify the type of percent (weight,

mole, volume) and the basis for the percent. Is the percent based on the composition or on one of

the components? Similarly, the basis has not been set forth for the mole percent of claims 6 and 7.

Within claim 4, the recitation of "about" with the carbon atom number is improper,

because it cannot be determined with certainty exactly what compounds are encompassed by the

claim. For example, does 12 carbon atoms satisfy the "about 10" language?

Within claim 8, it is unclear what constitutes an appropriate catalyst.

2. Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter

which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to

which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Applicants have failed to set forth what compounds are encompassed by the language, "their

derivatives". In the absence of such guidance, it cannot be determined what derivatives are

suitable solvents or what characteristics determine if a compound qualifies as a derivative.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to R. Sergent at telephone

number (703) 308-2982.

R. Sergent June 29, 2003

RABON SERGENT